Write your name on this cover sheet. If you tear out any sheets, please write your name on them. You have 80 minutes to complete this quiz.

Some questions may be much harder than others. Read them all through first and attack them in the order that allows you to make the most progress. If you find a question ambiguous, write down any assumptions you make. Write neatly. In order to receive full credit you must answer each question as precisely as possible.

You may use class notes, papers, and lab material. You may read them on your laptop, but you are not allowed to use any network. For example, you may not look at web sites or communicate with anyone.
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I MapReduce

You’re writing a MapReduce-based application (a Map function and a Reduce function) that analyzes the way words are used in documents (the details of the analysis do not matter for this question). Each input document corresponds to a separate Map task. Your Reduce function needs to know the total number of times each word occurs in the total set of documents. You set up a database server that has a table mapping words to counts. You program your Map function to connect to that database over the network and tell it to increment the relevant count in the database for each word that Map sees in its input. You write your Reduce function to consult the database when it needs a word’s total count. You’re careful to reset the counts in the database to zero before starting a MapReduce job. You run only a single MapReduce job at a time.

You find, to your surprise, that sometimes the counts that the Reduces read from the database are too high – higher than the total number of times a word actually appears.

1. [7 points]: Explain what is going on.
II  GFS

Consider the paper *The Google File System* by Ghemawat *et al.* Section 3.1 explains that the master grants each primary chunkserver a 60-second lease. The primary can act as primary during the lease time, but must stop when the lease expires. The master won’t assign a different server to be primary until after the lease has expired, but may do so immediately afterwards.

The master starts counting the lease time when it sends the network message to the primary granting the lease. The primary starts counting the lease time when it receives that message. Assume for this question that the primary does not try to renew its lease.

2. **[7 points]**: Suppose the primary’s clock runs much faster than the master’s clock, so that the primary thinks the lease expires many seconds before the master thinks it expires. Briefly explain how the primary’s fast clock will cause a loss of performance.

3. **[7 points]**: Suppose the network delays the lease grant message by ten seconds. For this question, the master and primary clocks run at the same speed, and the network only delays the lease grant message, but not other messages. Briefly explain how the delayed delivery of the lease grant message could cause loss of correctness.
III VMware FT

*The Design of a Practical System for Fault-Tolerant Virtual Machines*, by Scales et al., says in Section 2.2 and Figure 2 that the Output Rule must be enforced for network packets sent to clients: before the Primary sends a packet to a client, it must wait until the Backup acknowledges that it has received all log entries up to that point. On the other hand, for operations such as modifying CPU registers or writing RAM, the Output Rule does not apply, and the Primary does not need to wait for the Backup.

4. **[7 points]**: When the Primary tells the Backup about an output operation (as in Figure 2), does the Primary need to tell the Backup the content of the output? For example, when the Primary is about to send a packet to a client, does it need to tell the Backup the content of the packet? Explain your answer.
A server replicated with VMware FT has an RPC handler with this code, intended to swap the content of two blocks stored in the Shared Disk:

SwapHandler():
   1. read block 1 into buffer1
   2. read block 2 into buffer2
   3. write buffer2 to block 1
   4. write buffer1 to block 2
   5. respond "done" to the client

Block 1 starts out containing XX, and block 2 starts out containing YY. There’s only one client. The client sends a SwapHandler RPC request to the server, and (if the client doesn’t get a response) re-sends the request periodically until it gets a response. There are no other requests.

5. [7 points]: If the Primary crashes after executing line 3, at that point the Shared Disk will contain YY in both block 1 and block 2. After the Backup “goes live” and the client receives a response, what will the Shared Disk end up containing in blocks 1 and 2? What is the sequence of actions that leads to that outcome?
IV Raft

Refer to Ongaro and Ousterhout’s *In Search of an Understandable Consensus Algorithm (Extended Version)*.

6. [7 points]: In the paper’s Figure 8, at time (b), S5’s last log entry has a term of 3. A friend of yours suggests that the term in S5’s last log entry should instead be 2, since S5 got votes only from S3 and S4 (and itself), and those servers all have 1 at the ends of their logs. Explain what it is that forces S5 to be leader for term 3, and not term 2.

Suppose Figure 2’s RequestVote handling code were changed to omit lastLogIndex and lastLogTerm from the Arguments, and item 2 were changed to:

2. If votedFor is candidateId,
   or (votedFor is null and term >= currentTerm),
   grant vote.

7. [7 points]: Explain why this change can cause two Raft peers to apply different entries at the same index.
Figure 13 describes a series of steps that the InstallSnapshot RPC handler must follow, including:

6. If existing log entry has same index and term as snapshot’s last included entry, retain log entries following it and reply

Recall that Raft’s safety guarantee is that if two servers commit an entry at a particular index, it must be the same entry.

8. [7 points]: Consider what would happen if this step were omitted (i.e. we unconditionally proceeded to step 7, discarding the entire log upon receiving an InstallSnapshot RPC). Would this modified protocol violate Raft’s safety guarantees? If not, explain why not. If it would, describe an execution of the protocol that results in an observable safety violation.
Suppose that a correct Lab 2 implementation is running on a cluster of machines, and a cosmic ray flips some bits in the memory of the leader. This question asks about possible corruptions and how they affect Raft safety. Recall that Raft’s safety guarantee is that if two servers commit an entry at a particular index, it must be the same entry.

9. [7 points]: Consider the scenario where the cosmic ray flips bits in the ‘nextIndex[]’ array, replacing some values with other arbitrary values. Assume that code handles out-of-bounds values by clipping to the size of the log. Could an execution where this occurs result in an observable safety violation? If not, explain why not. If it could, describe an execution of the protocol that results in an observable safety violation.

10. [7 points]: Now, consider a scenario where the cosmic ray flips bits in the ‘matchIndex[]’ array, replacing some values with other arbitrary values. Assume that the code handles out-of-bounds values by clipping to the size of the log. Could an execution where this occurs result in an observable safety violation? If not, explain why not. If it could, describe an execution of the protocol that results in an observable safety violation.
V  ZooKeeper

Ben Bitdiddle reads *ZooKeeper: Wait-free coordination for Internet-scale systems* by Hunt, Konar, Junqueira, and Reed, and decides to use ZooKeeper in a distributed system he’s building. Ben implements the paper’s Simple Locks (page 6) with the following code:

```plaintext
lock():
  1. ok = create("/lock", EPHEMERAL)
  2. if ok == true, return (lock is acquired)
  3. if exists("/lock", watch=true) wait for watch event
  4. goto 1

unlock():
  5. delete("/lock", -1)
```

Client C1 calls lock(), which succeeds.

Client C2 calls lock(), which blocks at line 3.

Client C1 is running on Ben’s laptop. Ben puts his laptop to sleep; C1 stops executing and does not call unlock(). Ben goes off to eat lunch.

Client C2 is still operating on a different computer, and can talk to the ZooKeeper service.

11. [7 points]: C2 will be able to eventually acquire the lock even though C1 never unlocks it. Explain how this happens.
At this point C2 holds the lock.

12. [7 points]: Suppose there is another client C3 that has called lock() and is waiting to get the lock. Ben returns from lunch and opens up his laptop. C1 resumes execution, and calls unlock(). C2 does not call unlock(). Will C3 now be able to acquire the lock? Explain your answer.
VI Chain Replication

Zara Zoomer is storing a small amount of valuable data in a storage system that replicates data using the chain technique from Chain Replication for Supporting High Throughput and Availability by van Renesse and Schneider. Zara’s chain has five servers, as well as a replicated master that detects server failures and directs the recovery process. Each server sends a few heartbeat messages per second to the master, and the master declares a server dead if it doesn’t receive any heartbeats for ten seconds. The master has a large pool of idle servers it can use as replacements; after deciding a chain server is dead, it takes another second to re-configure the chain to eliminate the dead server, incorporate a new server (so that the chain length stays constant), and copy all the data to the new server. The master never itself suffers any failures.

13. [7 points]: Zara’s system frequently imposes delays of up to eleven seconds on updates. Zara feels this is not very fault-tolerant, and would like to reduce the frequency of these delays. Should she increase the chain length from five to six? Or decrease it to four? Explain.
Zara is upset at how much it costs to pay for the servers implementing the master service. She reasons that she could eliminate the master altogether, and use the following scheme instead. All the servers in a chain send the other servers periodic heartbeat messages. If the head is alive, it will monitor the heartbeats and tell the other servers how to re-configure if one dies. If the other servers stop getting heartbeats from the head, they will consider the second server to be in charge of the configuration, and so on.

14. [7 points]: Explain what is wrong with Zara’s plan, and outline an example situation in which it will yield incorrect (non-linearizable) results to clients.
VII 6.824

15. [0.66 points]: Which lectures/papers should we definitely keep for future years?
   - MapReduce
   - RPC, Threads, and Go
   - GFS
   - VMware FT
   - Raft
   - Debugging
   - Q+A on Lab 2A/2B
   - ZooKeeper
   - Chain Replication
   - Distributed Transactions

16. [0.66 points]: Which lectures/papers should we omit?
   - MapReduce
   - RPC, Threads, and Go
   - GFS
   - VMware FT
   - Raft
   - Debugging
   - Q+A on Lab 2A/2B
   - ZooKeeper
   - Chain Replication
   - Distributed Transactions

17. [0.66 points]: What can we do to improve the course?

End of Exam I